

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/081,876	02/20/2002	Mark Thomas Lavelle	9623E-035100	9901	
20350	7590 04/02/2004		EXAM	INER	
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP			SOBUTKA, PHILIP		
EIGHTH FLC	RCADERO CENTER OOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRANC	ISCO, CA 94111-3834		2684		
			DATE MAILED: 04/02/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

w.;w	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/081,876	LAVELLE ET AL.				
•	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Philip J. Sobutka	2684				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS API Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the appli	cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad- event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortener (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ision and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ 36(a) and the appropriate exithe final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal (period set forth in of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s): <u>claim 16 would be allow</u>	<u>red</u> .				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>2-16</u> would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	pe allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Set	or reconsideration has been cons see Continuation Sheet.	sidered but does NO	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>16</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15 and 17-20</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		≤·				
Section Section Color						



Continuation of 2. NOTE: the proposed amendment to claim 19 is the only amendment that would raise new issues, since it would be limited to a mouse or joystick, rather than the game pad of the previously applied art.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: after final amendment can no longer be entered i part. Applicant's arguments regarding claim 16 were persuasive and claim 16 would be allowable. While the proposed amendments to claims 2-16 would place those claims in condition for allowance, the rejection of claims 17-19 stands. Note also that the proposed amendment to claim 19 will not be entered because it significantly alters the scope of the claim. Applicant is again reminded that the alternative recitation used by the applicant in claim 19 means that a prior art reference need only meet one of the limitations, since the claim does not necessarily cover all of the limitations. Regarding applicants statement that Cortopassi is not a gaming device, note that if the computer that was coupled to Cortopassi's input device was running a gaming program, the input device would be a gaming input device.

Continuation of 10. Other: The information disclosure statement filed 11-20-2003 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(c) because it lacks a statement as specified in 37 CFR 1.97(e). It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. NOTE ALSO THAT ALL OF THE PATENTS LISTED ON THE IDS ARE ALREADY IN THE RECORD SINCE THEY WERE ALL CITED BY THE EXAMINER IN THE FIRST ACTION.

NAY MAUNG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER